### HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON

# Leicestershire County Council Pension Fund

Report on the actuarial valuation at 31 March 2022

### Richard Warden FFA Tom Hoare FFA

30 March 2023 For and on behalf of Hymans Robertson LL

Hymans Robertson LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority

HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

 $\left|\right>$ 

Use the menu bar above to navigate to each section.

### Contents

### In this report:

Executive summary Approach to valuation Valuation results Sensitivity & risk analysis	Page
Valuation results	3
	4
Sensitivity & risk analysis	8
	15
Final comments	19
Appendices	21
Rates & Adjustments certificate	32
Section 13 dashboard	44

RATES & HYMANS 🗱 EXECUTIVE **APPROACH TO** VALUATION **FINAL SECTION 13 SENSITIVITY &** APPENDICES ADJUSTMENTS RÓBERTSON SUMMARY VALUATION RESULTS **RISK ANALYSIS** COMMENTS DASHBOARD CERTIFICATE

### **Executive Summary**

The key results of the valuation of the Leicestershire Country Council Pension Fund as at 31 March 2022 are set out below. Further explanation of the outcomes of the valuation are contained in the remainder of this report.

#### **Contribution rates**

The contribution rates for individual employers set at this valuation can be found in the <u>Rates & Adjustments certificate</u>. Table 1 shows the combined individual employer rates set at this valuation and the last valuation (31 March 2019).

### Table 1: Whole fund contribution rates compared with the previous valuation

	This valuation 31 March 2022			aluation ch 2019
Primary rate	23.1%	6 of pay	20.4%	6 of pay
Secondary Rate	2023/2024	£31,910,000	2020/2021	£33,483,000
	2024/2025	£33,000,000	2021/2022	£39,722,000
	2025/2026	£34,128,000	2022/2023	£46,526,000

### **Funding position**

At 31 March 2022, the past service funding position has improved from the last valuation at 31 March 2019. Table 2 shows the single reported funding position at the current and previous valuation.

Table 2: Single reported funding position at 31 March 2022 compared with 31 March 2019

Valuation Date	31 March 2022	31 March 2019
Past Service Liabilities	(£m)	(£m)
Employees	2,131	1,842
Deferred Pensioners	1,077	966
Pensioners	2,299	2,041
Total Liabilities	5,507	4,849
Assets	5,790	4,312
Surplus/(Deficit)	283	(537)
Funding Level	105%	89%

The required investment return to be 100% funded is now 4.1% pa (4.5% pa at 2019). The likelihood of the Fund's investment strategy achieving the required return is 78% (70% at 2019).

### HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON

# Approach to valuation



HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

### Valuation Purpose

The triennial actuarial valuation is an important part of the Fund's risk management framework. Its main purpose is to ensure the Fund continues to have a contribution plan and investment strategy that will achieve the objectives set out in the Funding Strategy Statement.

We have been commissioned by Leicestershire Country Council (the Administering Authority) to carry out a valuation of the Leicestershire County Council Pension Fund (the Fund) as at 31 March 2022. This fulfils Regulation 62 of the Local Government Pension Scheme Regulations 2013. This report marks the culmination of the valuation process and contains its two key outcomes:

1

Employer contribution rates for the period 1 April 2023 to 31 March 2026.



5

The funding level of the Fund at 31 March 2022.

Further information on the valuation process, methodology and strategy is set out in the publicly available Funding Strategy Statement, Investment Strategy Statement and published papers and minutes of the Fund's Pensions Committee. Additional material is also contained in <u>Hymans Robertson's LGPS 2022 valuation</u> toolkit<sup>1</sup>.



HYMANS RÓBERTSON

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

APPROACH TO VALUATION

VALUATION RESULTS

SENSITIVITY & **RISK ANALYSIS** COMMENTS

FINAL

APPENDICES

RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE

SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

# Setting employer contribution rates

Employer contributions need to be set at a level which ensures the Fund has a reasonable likelihood of having enough money to pay members' benefits. Identifying the amount of benefits that may be paid is complex as those earned today might only start being paid in 50 years' time. Over that time period, there is significant uncertainty over factors which affect the cost of benefits, eg inflation, investment returns. These uncertainties are allowed for by taking a risk-based approach to setting employer contribution rates. This approach is built around three key funding decisions set by the Fund and asset-liability modelling.

### Key funding decisions

For each employer, the Fund determines the most appropriate choice for the following three funding decisions. Further detail is set out in the Funding Strategy Statement.



### What is the funding target for each employer?

Will the employer remain in the Fund for the long-term or exit at some point

What is the funding time horizon? How long will the employer participate in the Fund


What is the required likelihood?

How much funding risk can the employer's covenant support

### Modelling approach

Asset-liability modelling is used to project each employer's assets and benefit payments into the future using 5,000 different economic scenarios. The economic scenarios are generated using Hymans Robertson's Economic Scenario Service (ESS) (further information in Appendix 2).

Picture 1: sample progression of employer asset values under different economic scenarios



HVMANS # ROBERTSON

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY APPROACH TO VALUATION

VALUATION RESULTS SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS FINAL COMMENTS RATES & APPENDICES ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

### Measuring the funding level

The past service funding level is measured at the valuation. Whilst it is limited in providing insight into a funding plan, it is a useful high-level summary statistic. To measure the funding level, a market-related approach is taken to calculating both the assets and the liabilities (so they are consistent with each other).

- The market value of the Fund's assets at the valuation date have been used.
- The liabilities have been valued using assumptions based on market indicators at the valuation date (these assumptions are detailed in <u>Appendix 2</u>).

### Further detail on the liabilities

HYMANS


RÓBERTSON

The past service liabilities are the value of all future payments to members based on all benefits earned up to the valuation date, expressed in today's money.

Chart 1 shows the projected payments for all members in the Fund at the valuation date. The projections are based on the membership data provided for the valuation (<u>Appendix 1</u>), the assumptions (<u>Appendix 2</u>) and our understanding of the LGPS benefit structure as at 31 March 2022 (details at <u>www.lgpsregs.org</u>).

To express the future payments in today's money, the projections are discounted with an assumed future investment return on the Fund's assets (the discount rate).

Chart 1: projected benefit payments for all service earned up to 31 March 2022



### HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON

# Valuation results



### Employer contribution rates

The primary objective of the Fund is to set employer contribution rates that will adequately cover the cost of benefits which will accrue in the future and any costs related to benefits already earned. A secondary objective is to ensure the rates are as stable as possible. The risk-based approach detailed earlier is used to meet both these objectives.

The employer contribution rate is made up of two components.

- 1. A primary rate: the level sufficient to cover all new benefits.
- 2. A secondary rate: the costs associated with sufficiently funding benefits accrued up to the valuation date.

Each employer has a contribution rate which is appropriate to their circumstances and these can be found in the <u>Rates & Adjustments</u> <u>Certificate</u>. Broadly speaking:

- Primary rates have increased since the last valuation due to rising inflation.
- Secondary rates have decreased due to strong investment performance since the previous valuation.

However all employers will be different and the contribution rate will reflect the membership and experiences of each employer.

Table 3 shows the total of all employer contribution rates to be paid into the Fund over the period 1 April 2023 to 31 March 2026.

	This valuation 31 March 2022			aluation rch 2019
Primary rate	23.1% of pay		20.4% of pay	
Secondary Rate	2023/2024	£31,910,000	2020/2021	£33,483,000
	2024/2025	£33,000,000	2021/2022	£39,722,000
	2025/2026	£34,128,000	2022/2023	£46,526,000

The primary rate includes an allowance of 0.4% of pensionable pay for the Fund's expenses (0.3% of pay at the last valuation).

Employees pay a contribution to the Fund in addition to these rates. These rates are set by the LGPS Regulations. The average employee contribution rate at 31 March 2022 is 6.3% of pay (6.3% at 31 March 2019).





### Funding level


The funding level is the ratio of assets to liabilities. The market value of the assets at the valuation date are known. The value of the liabilities is uncertain given that the level of future investment returns are unknown.

Therefore, the liabilities and funding level have been calculated across a range of different investment returns (the discount rate).

To help better understand funding risk, the likelihood of the Fund's investment strategy (detailed in <u>Appendix 1</u>) achieving certain levels of return has also been calculated.

Chart 2 shows how the funding level varies with future investment return assumptions at 31 March 2022 (blue line). The green line shows the same analysis at 31 March 2019.

- The funding position at 2022 is stronger than 2019.
- The funding level is 100% if future investment returns are c.4.1% pa. The likelihood of the Fund's assets yielding at least this return is around 78%.
- The comparator at 2019 was a return of 4.5% pa which had a likelihood of 70%.
- There is a 50% likelihood of an investment return of 6.5% pa. So the best-estimate funding level is 154% at 31 March 2022 (129% at 2019).



Figures on each line show the likelihood of the Fund's assets exceeding that level of return over the next 20 years.

The pink diamond shows the reported funding level (and assumed future return with a given likelihood of being achieved) at each valuation.

Chart 2: funding level across a range of future investment returns

HYMANS 🗱 RÓBERTSON

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

**APPROACH TO** VALUATION

VALUATION RESULTS

**SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS** COMMENTS APPENDICES

RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE

**SECTION 13** DASHBOARD

### Single funding level as at 31 March 2022

Whilst the chart on the previous page provides a better understanding of the past service funding position, there is still a requirement to report a single funding level at 31 March 2022.

To report a single funding level and funding surplus/deficit for the 2022 valuation, a discount rate of 4.4% pa has been used. There is a 75% likelihood associated with a future investment return of 4.4% pa.

Table 4 details the liabilities, split by member status and the market value of assets at the valuation date. The results at the 2019 formal valuation are shown for comparison.

The funding level and surplus/deficit figures provide a high-level snapshot of the funding position of the Fund as at 31 March 2022, however there are limitations:

- The liabilities are calculated using a single set of assumptions about the future and so are very sensitive to the choice of assumptions.
- The market value of assets held by the Fund will change on a daily basis.

The future progression of the funding position is uncertain. If the financial and demographic assumptions made at this valuation actually occur, employers pay contributions in line with the R&A certificate and there are no other changes in the financial or demographic environment, we project that the funding level at the next valuation (31 March 2025) will be broadly similar.

#### Table 4: single reported funding level

**FINAL** 

Valuation Date	This valuation 31 March 2022	Last valuation 31 March 2019
Past Service Liabilities	(£m)	(£m)
Employees	2,131	1,842
Deferred Pensioners	1,077	966
Pensioners	2,299	2,041
Total Liabilities	5,507	4,849
Assets	5,790	4,312
Surplus/(Deficit)	283	(537)
Funding Level	105%	89%

*Important:* the reported funding level does not directly drive the contribution rates for employers. The contribution rates consider how assets and liabilities will evolve over time in different economic scenarios and also reflect each employer's funding profile and covenant.



**RATES &** 

### Changes since the last valuation

### Events between 2019 and 2022

The most significant factor occurring over the period has been the better than expected investment returns achieved by the Fund. This has had a material positive impact on the funding position and employers' secondary contribution rates.

The membership experience analysis shows that there has sadly been a higher than expected number of deaths over the period due to the Covid-19 pandemic. However, the impact on the funding position has been small.

### **Financial**

Table 5: analysis of financial experience between 2019 and 2022 valuations

	Expected	Actual	Difference	Impact on funding position
Investment returns				
3 year period	11.8%	34.3%	22.5%	+£867m
Annual	3.8% pa	10.3% pa	6.5% pa	

### **Membership**

Table 6: analysis of membership experience between 2019 and 2022 valuations

	Expected	Actual	Difference	Impact on funding position
Pre-retirement				
Early leavers	9,904	11,987	2,083	+£0m
III-health retirements	205	174	-31	+£7m
Salary increases	3.5% pa	4.6% pa	1.1% pa	-£38m
Post-retirement				
Benefit increases	2.3% pa	1.8% pa	-0.6% pa	+£68m
Pension ceasing	£9.5m	£10.1m	£0.5m	+£7m
Ill-health retirementsSalary increasesPost-retirementBenefit increases	205 3.5% pa 2.3% pa	174 4.6% pa 1.8% pa	-31 1.1% pa -0.6% pa	+£7m -£38m +£68n

### Changes since the last valuation

### **Future outlook**

Expectations about the future, which inform the assumptions used to value the liabilities, have changed since the last valuation. The most significant changes are:

- Future inflation: this is expected to be on average higher than at 2019 due to the current level of high inflation.
- Investment returns: due to change in the Fund's investment strategy and financial markets, future investment returns are now expected to be higher than at the last valuation.

#### Table 7: summary of change in future outlook

Factor	What does it affect?	What's changed?	Impact on liabilities
Future investment returns	The rate at which you discount back future benefit payments i.e. the discount rate assumption	Future investment returns slightly higher at 2022 than at 2019.	Decrease of £671m
Inflation	The rate at which pensions (both in payment and deferment) and CARE pots increase	Significant increase in short-term future inflation expectations.	Increase of £637m
Salary increases	The rate at which future salaries increase which will affect the benefits that are still linked to final salary i.e. accrued before 1 April 2014	No material change since last valuation given competing factors e.g. tighter budgetary conditions vs. strong job market and pressure from National Living Wage increases.	Increase of £0m
Current life expectancy	How long we expect people to live for based on today's current observed mortality rates.	Slight reduction in life expectancy based on current observed data (not allowing for Covid-related excess deaths)	Decrease of £22m
Future improvements in life expectancy	How we expect life expectancies to change (increase) in the future.	Uncertainty about effectiveness of mitigations against life expectancy increases in the LGPS i.e. State Pension Age increases and Cost Cap. Need to better reflect wider pension and insurance industry long-term expectations.	Increase of £37m

HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON

EXECUTIVE APPRO SUMMARY VALU

APPROACH TO VALUATION

VALUATION RESULTS SENSITIVITY & FINAL RISK ANALYSIS COMMENTS

APPENDICES

RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE

SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

### Reconciling the overall change in funding position

The tables below provide insight into the funding position change between 31 March 2019 and 31 March 2022. Firstly, the changes we expect to happen (Table 8), which relate mostly to items on the asset side. Then the impact of actual experience (Table 9), which mainly affects the liabilities.

#### **Expected development**

Table 8: expected development of funding position between 2019 and 2022 valuations

Change in the surplus/deficit position	Surplus / Deficit
	£m
Last valuation at 31 March 2019	(537)
Cashflows	
Employer contributions paid in	534
Employee contributions paid in	135
Benefits paid out	0
Net transfers into / out of the Fund	(10)
Other cashflows (e.g. Fund expenses)	(7)
Expected changes	
Expected investment returns	457
Interest on benefits already accrued	(580)
Accrual of new benefits	(626)
Expected position at 31 March 2022	(634)

\* We have insufficient data to value the impact on the liabilities as a result of transfers in/out

#### Impact of actual events

Table 9: impact of actual events on the funding position at 31 March 2022

Change in the surplus/deficit position	Surplus / Deficit
	£m
Expected position at 31 March 2022	(634)
Events between 2019 and 2022	
Salary increases greater than expected	(38)
Benefit increases less than expected	68
Early retirement strain (and contributions)	(1)
III health retirement strain	12
Early leavers less than expected	0
Commutation less than expected	(5)
McCloud remedy	(10)
Other membership experience	3
Higher than expected investment returns	867
Changes in future expectations	
Investment returns	671
Inflation	(637)
Salary increases	0
Longevity	(15)
Other demographic assumptions	2
Actual position at 31 March 2022	283
	Hymans

### HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON

# Sensitivity & risk analysis



HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON EXECUTIVE AF

APPROACH TO VALUATION VALUATION RESULTS SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS FINAL COMMENTS RATES & APPENDICES ADJUSTMENTS <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

### Sensitivity and risk analysis: assumptions

There is risk and uncertainty inherent with funding benefit payments that will be paid out many years in the future. The Fund is aware of these and has in place a risk register which is regularly reviewed. Additionally, as part of the valuation, the Fund reviews sources of risk that may impact its funding position and the contribution rates payable by employers.

This section discusses some of the most significant sources of funding risk (assumptions, regulatory, administration and governance and climate change). Further information of the Fund's approach to funding risk management, including monitoring, mitigation and management, is set out in the Funding Strategy Statement.

The valuation results depend on the actuarial assumptions made about the future. By their nature, these assumptions are uncertain which means its important to understand their sensitivity and risk levels.

### **Contribution rates**

The risk-based approach to setting employer contribution rates mitigates the limitation of relying on one set of assumptions. Therefore, there is no need to carry out additional analysis of the sensitivity of contribution rates to changes in financial assumptions. The contribution rates are sensitive to changes in demographic assumptions. The results in this section in relation to the funding position can be broadly applied to the contribution rates.

### Funding level Financial assumptions

On page 10, we have already set out how the results vary with the assumed future investment return. The table below considers inflation.

Table 10: sensitivity of funding position to inflation assumption

CPI Assumption	Surplus/ (Deficit)	Funding Level
p.a.	£m	%
2.7%	467	109%
2.9%	283	105%
3.1%	107	102%

### **Demographic assumptions**

The main area of demographic risk is if people live longer than expected. The table below shows the impact of longer term longevity rates improving at a faster rate (1.75% pa vs 1.5% pa used in the results)

Table 11: sensitivity of funding position to longevity assumption

Long term rate of improvement	Surplus/ (Deficit)	Funding Level
p.a.	£m	%
1.50%	283	105%
1.75%	239	104%

HYMANS 🗱 RÓBERTSON

17

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

**APPROACH TO** VALUATION

VALUATION RESULTS

**SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS** COMMENTS

FINAL

APPENDICES

RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE

**SECTION 13** DASHBOARD

### Sensitivity and risk analysis: other risks

### **Regulatory, Administration and Governance risks**

Potential risks in this area include change in central government legislation which changes the future cost of the LGPS and failures in administration processes leading to incorrect data and inaccuracies in actuarial calculations. At this valuation, specific risks include:

- McCloud: the remedy to resolve the McCloud case is yet to be formalised in regulations. However, an allowance has been included for this expected benefit change at the 2022 valuation as directed by the Department of Levelling Up, Housing and Communities in their letter dated March 2022<sup>1</sup>.
- Goodwin: the remedy to this issue is still uncertain, it is difficult to identify who it would apply to and its impact is estimated to be very small for a LGPS fund (0.1-0.2% of liabilities). Therefore, no allowance has been made for this case at the 2022 valuation.
- **Cost Cap:** a legal challenge is ongoing in relation to the 2016 cost cap valuation and no information is known about the outcome of the 2020 cost cap valuation. At this valuation, no allowance has been made for any changes to the benefit structure that may occur as a result of a cost cap valuation.
- **GMP indexation:** it is assumed that all increases on GMPs for members • reaching State Pension Age after 6 April 2016 will be paid for by LGPS employers. This is the same approach that was taken for the 2019 valuation.

### Post valuation events

Since 31 March 2022, there has been significant volatility in the financial markets, short-term inflation expectations and rises in interest rates by central banks. These events affect the value of the Fund's assets and liabilities.

- The Fund's investment return since 31 March 2022 is estimated to be around -5%
- Liability valuations are likely to be lower now than at 31 March 2022 due to rises in expected future investment returns more than offsetting the higher than expected (10.1%) pension increase at April 2023.

As an open scheme, with a strong covenant, the Fund takes a long-term view when considering the funding impact of such events. For employers who have a very short time horizon, recent volatility may be more immediately impactful, and the Fund is open to engagement with these employers as appropriate.

No explicit allowance has been made for this volatility in the valuation results or contribution rates detailed in the Rates & Adjustments Certificate. The Fund will continue to monitor changes in the financial and demographic environment as part of its ongoing risk management approach.

HYMANS 🗱 RÓBERTSON

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

APPROACH TO VALUATION

VALUATION RESULTS

**SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS** 

APPENDICES COMMENTS

FINAL

RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE

**SECTION 13** DASHBOARD

### Sensitivity and risk analysis: climate change

### Background

Climate change is a major source of uncertainty which could affect future investment returns, inflation and life expectancies. Therefore, the Fund has explicitly explored the resilience of its funding strategy to future potential climate change outcomes.

It is impossible to confidently quantify the effect of climate risk given the significant uncertainty over the impact of different possible climate outcomes. Instead, three different climate change scenarios have been considered as a stress-test (instead of trying to predict how climate change affects the funding level in the future).

All the scenarios assume that there will be a period of disruption linked either to the response to climate risk (transition risks) or the effect of it (physical risks). This disruption will lead to high volatility in financial markets, and the later the disruption, the more pronounced it will be.

Further detail on the scenarios is shown on the next page and in our guide 10 of Hymans Robertson's LGPS 2022 valuation toolkit<sup>1</sup>

### Outcome of analysis

18

The Fund has set its funding strategy using asset-liability modelling and considering two main risk metrics:

- Likelihood of success the chance of being fully funded in 20 years' time
- Downside risk the average worst 5% of funding levels in 20 years' time

When exploring the potential impact of climate change, the Fund has compared how these risk metrics change under each climate change scenario (against the 'Core' model used when setting the funding strategy). The stress test results for the Fund are shown in Table 12 below.

### Table 12: impact on funding strategy of climate transition scenarios

Scenario	Likelihood of success	Downside risk
Core	82%	56%
Green Revolution	80%	50%
Delayed Transition	80%	57%
Head in the Sand	81%	55%

The results are worse in the climate scenarios. This is to be expected given that they are purposefully stress-tests and all the scenarios are bad outcomes. Whilst the risk metrics are weaker, they are not materially so and not enough to suggest that the funding strategy is unduly exposed to climate change risk. The Fund will continue to monitor this risk as more information emerges and climate change modelling techniques evolve.



### HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON

# Final comments



### Final comments

The Fund's valuation operates within a broader framework, and this document should be considered alongside the following:

- The Funding Strategy Statement, which in particular highlights how different types of employer in different circumstances have their contributions calculated
- The Investment Strategy Statement, which sets out the investment strategy for the Fund
- The general governance of the Fund, such as meetings of the Pensions Committee and Local Pensions Board, decisions delegated to officers, the Fund's business plan, etc
- The Fund's risk register

### New employers joining the Fund

Any new employers or admission bodies joining the Fund should be referred to the Fund Actuary to assess the required level of contribution. Depending on the number of transferring members the ceding employer's rate may also need to be reviewed.

#### **Cessations and bulk transfers**

Any employer who ceases to participate in the Fund should be referred to the Fund Actuary in accordance with Regulation 64 of the LGPS regulations.

Any bulk movement of scheme members:

- involving 10 or more scheme members being transferred from or to another LGPS fund
- involving 2 or more scheme members being transferred from or to a non-LGPS pension arrangement

should be referred to the Fund Actuary to consider the impact on the Fund.

#### **Valuation frequency**

Under the LGPS regulations, the next formal valuation of the Fund is due to be carried out as at 31 March 2025 where contribution rates payable from 1 April 2026 will be set.

Tom Hoare FFA

HYMANS # ROBERTSON

Richard Warden FFA 30 March 2023 For and on behalf of Hymans Robertson LLP

### HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON

Appendices





#### Membership data

A summary of the membership data provided by the Fund for the 2022 valuation is set out in Table 13. The corresponding membership data from the previous valuation is also shown for reference.

The results of the valuation are dependent on the quality of the data used. We have carried out a series of validation checks on the data supplied to us by the Administering Authority to ensure that it is fit for purpose.

More information on how we verify the quality of the data used in the valuation has been shared with the Administering Authority in our report 'Data Report for the 2022 Valuation', dated March 2023.

#### Asset data

To check the membership data and derive employer asset values, we have used asset and accounting data and employer level cashflow data provided by the Fund.

#### Table 13: Whole fund membership data as at 31 March 2022 and 31 March 2019

Whole Fund Membership Data	This Valuation 31 March 2022	Last Valuation 31 March 2019
Employee members		
Number	37,228	33,956
Total actual pay (£000)	731,068	625,092
Total accrued pension (£000)	130,520	109,746
Average age (liability weighted)	52.4	51.4
Future working lifetime (years)	6.3	8.7
Deferred pensioners (including undecideds)		
Number	39,712	36,773
Total accrued pension (£000)	59,877	55,126
Average age (liability weighted)	51.3	50.7
Pensioners and dependants		
Number	31,523	28,177
Total pensions in payment (£000)	143,602	125,105
Average age (liability weighted)	68.6	67.9



#### Investment strategy

A summary of the investment strategy allocation used for the calculation of employer contribution rates and to derive the future assumed investment return is set out in Table 14.

This information is as set out in the Fund's Investment Strategy Statement.

#### Table 14: Investment strategy used for the 2022 valuation

Asset class	Allocation
A Credit (4 yr maturity)	3.0%
Cash	0.5%
DGF High Beta	2.5%
DGF Low Beta	5.0%
EM Debt Local	2.5%
EM equities (unhedged)	5.0%
Global Equites (hedged)	16.0%
Global Equities (unhedged)	16.0%
Index linked gilt (14 yr maturity)	4.5%
Infrastructure equity (listed)	9.8%
Multi Asset Credit (sub investment grade)	4.0%
Private Equity	5.8%
Private Lending	10.5%
Property	10.0%
UK Equities	5.0%
Total	100.0%

HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

### Assumptions

To set and agree assumptions for the valuation, the Fund carried out in-depth analysis and review in May 2022 with the final set agreed by the Pensions Committee on 18 November 2022.

#### **Financial assumptions**

#### Setting employer contribution rates

An asset-liability model is used to set employer contributions at the 2022 valuation. This model relies on Hymans Robertson's proprietary economic model, the Economic Scenario Service (ESS). The ESS reflects the uncertainty associated with future levels of inflation and asset returns and the interactions and correlations between different asset classes and wider economic variables. In the short term (first few years), the models are fitted with current financial market expectations. Over the longer term, models are built around views of fundamental economic parameters, for example equity risk premium, credit spreads and long term inflation. The table below shows the calibration of the ESS at 31 March 2022. Further information on the assumptions used for contribution rate setting is included in the Funding Strategy Statement.

 Table 15: ESS individual asset class return distributions at 31 March 2022

							Asset clas	ss annualise	d total return	S								
Time period	Percentile	Cash	Index Linked Gilts (medium)	UK Equity	Private Equity	Property	Emerging Market Debt	Listed Infrastruct ure Equity	Growth	Diversified Growth Fund (low equity beta)	Multi Asset Credit (sub inv grade)	All World Equity GBP Hedged	All World ex UK Equity in GBP Unhedged	Direct Lending (private debt) GBP Hedged	CorpShort A	CorpMedium A	Inflation (CPI)	EM Equity Unhedg ed
	16 <sup>th</sup>	0.8%	-1.9%	-0.4%	-1.2%	-0.6%	-1.5%	-1.1%	1.1%	1.4%	1.7%	-0.3%	-0.4%	2.7%	1.4%	-0.1%	1.6%	-2.5%
10 years	50 <sup>th</sup>	1.8%	0.2%	5.7%	9.4%	4.4%	3.4%	4.9%	5.4%	3.2%	3.5%	5.9%	5.8%	6.0%	2.4%	1.6%	3.3%	5.8%
	84 <sup>th</sup>	2.9%	2.4%	11.6%	20.1%	9.5%	8.6%	10.9%	9.5%	5.1%	5.2%	11.9%	11.9%	9.2%	3.4%	3.2%	4.9%	14.4%
	16 <sup>th</sup>	1.0%	-1.5%	1.7%	2.4%	1.4%	0.5%	1.2%	2.8%	2.1%	2.8%	1.9%	1.8%	4.3%	2.0%	1.1%	1.2%	0.1%
20 years	50 <sup>th</sup>	2.4%	0.1%	6.2%	10.0%	5.0%	4.2%	5.6%	6.0%	3.8%	4.4%	6.4%	6.3%	6.8%	3.2%	2.1%	2.7%	6.3%
	84 <sup>th</sup>	4.0%	1.9%	10.6%	17.6%	8.9%	8.1%	10.1%	9.4%	5.7%	6.0%	11.0%	11.1%	9.2%	4.6%	3.2%	4.3%	12.8%
	16 <sup>th</sup>	1.2%	-0.3%	3.2%	4.7%	2.6%	1.9%	2.6%	4.0%	2.5%	3.6%	3.5%	3.4%	5.5%	2.4%	2.0%	0.9%	2.1%
40 years	50 <sup>th</sup>	2.9%	1.2%	6.7%	10.3%	5.5%	5.0%	6.1%	6.6%	4.4%	5.3%	6.8%	6.8%	7.7%	3.9%	3.1%	2.2%	6.8%
	84 <sup>th</sup>	4.9%	3.1%	10.2%	16.1%	8.8%	8.2%	9.8%	9.4%	6.5%	7.1%	10.4%	10.4%	10.0%	5.8%	4.4%	3.7%	11.7%
	Volatility (5yr)	1.7%	6.8%	18.1%	30.3%	14.9%	15.1%	17.8%	12.6%	5.0%	5.9%	18.2%	18.5%	10.5%	3.0%	6.5%	3.3%	26.0%



HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

### Assumptions

#### Financial assumptions Calculating the funding level

The table below summarises the assumptions used to calculate the funding level at 31 March 2022, along with a comparison at the last valuation.

Table 16: Summary of assumptions used for measuring the funding level, c	compared to last valuation on 31 March 2019
--	---

Assumption	This valuation 31 March 2022	Required for	Last valuation 31 March 2019
Discount rate	4.4% pa	To place a present value on all the benefits promised to scheme members at the valuation date. The Fund's assets are estimated to have a 75% likelihood of returning above the discount rate.	3.8% pa (based on 80% likelihood)
Benefit increases / CARE revaluation	2.9% pa	To determine the size of future benefit payments.	2.3% pa
Salary increases	3.4% pa	To determine the size of future final-salary linked benefit payments.	2.8% pa

### Allowing for the McCloud remedy

25

Allowance has been included for this expected benefit change at the 2022 valuation as directed by the Department of Levelling Up, Housing and Communities in their letter dated March 2022<sup>1</sup>. Further technical detail about this assumption is set out in guide 13 of <u>Hymans Robertson's LGPS 2022 valuation toolkit</u><sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> www.lgpslibrary.org/assets/bulletins/2022/222AppA.pdf

HYMANS 井 ROBERTSON

VALUATION RESULTS

**SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS** COMMENTS

RATES & **SECTION 13 ADJUSTMENTS** DASHBOARD CERTIFICATE

**APPENDIX 2** 

## Assumptions

### **Demographic assumptions**

The same demographic assumptions are used in setting contribution rates and assessing the current funding level.

### Longevity

Table 17: Summary of longevity assumptions

	This valuation 31 March 2022	Last valuation 31 March 2019
Baseline assumption	VitaCurves based on member- level lifestyle factors	VitaCurves based on member- level lifestyle factors
Future improvements	CMI 2021 model Initial addition = 0.25% (both Female and Male) Smoothing factor = 7.0 1.5% pa long-term rate of improvement	CMI 2018 model Initial addition = 0.25% (Female), 0.5% (Male) Smoothing factor = 7.0 1.25% pa long-term rate of improvement

Further information on these assumptions can be provided upon request. Sample rates are included on the next page.

### Other demographic assumptions

FINAL

Table 18: Summary of other demographic assumptions

Death in service	See sample rates in Tables 19 & 20
Retirements in ill health	See sample rates in Tables 19 & 20
Withdrawals	See sample rates in Tables 19 & 20
Promotional salary increases	See sample rates in Tables 19 & 20
Commutation	50% of future retirements elect to exchange pension for additional tax free cash up to HMRC limits
50:50 option	1.0% of members (uniformly distributed across the age, service and salary range) will choose the 50:50 option (main scheme) if they are currently in the main scheme (50:50 scheme).
Retirement age	The earliest age at which a member can retire with their benefits unreduced
Proportion married	A varying proportion of members are assumed to have a dependant at retirement or on earlier death. For example, at age 60 this is assumed to be 90% for males and 85% for females. The dependant of a male member is assumed to be 3 years younger than him and the dependent of a female member is assumed to be 3 years older than her.

**Females** 

#### **APPENDIX 2**

### Assumptions

#### Sample rates for demographic assumptions

#### Males

#### Table 19: Sample rates of male demographic assumptions

_				<u> </u>	1 1						,	,		÷	
	Age	Salary Scale	Death Before Retirement	Withd	rawals	III Healt	Health Tier 1 III Health Tier 2		Age	Age Salary Scale		Withdrawals		III Healt	
		·	FT & PT	FT	PT	FT	PT	FT	PT		·	FT & PT	FT	PT	FT
-	20	105	0.17	485.17	487.81	0	0	0	0	20	105	0.1	422.91	280.42	0
	25	117	0.17	320.47	322.22	0	0	0	0	25	117	0.1	284.56	188.66	0.1
	30	131	0.2	227.38	228.58	0	0	0	0	30	131	0.14	238.54	158.13	0.13
	35	144	0.24	117.66	178.58	0.1	0.07	0.02	0.01	35	144	0.24	205.88	136.43	0.26
	40	150	0.41	143.04	143.73	0.16	0.12	0.03	0.02	40	150	0.38	171.35	113.51	0.39
	45	157	0.68	134.35	134.98	0.35	0.27	0.07	0.05	45	157	0.62	159.9	105.91	0.52
	50	162	1.09	110.75	111.14	0.9	0.68	0.23	0.17	50	162	0.9	134.81	89.19	0.97
	55	162	1.7	87.21	87.56	3.54	2.65	0.51	0.38	55	162	1.19	100.59	66.62	3.59
	60	162	3.06	77.73	78.01	6.23	4.67	0.44	0.33	60	162	1.52	81.07	53.62	5.71
-	65	162	5.1	0	0	11.83	8.87	0	0	65	162	1.95	0	0	10.26

#### Figures are incidence rates per 1,000 members except salary scale. FT and PT denoted full-time and part-time members respectively.

#### 0.1 26 0.19

Table 20: Sample rates of female demographic assumptions

HYMANS # ROBERTSON

**Health Tier 1** 

PT

0

0.07

0.29

0.39

0.73

2.69

4.28

0

**III Health Tier 2** 

ΡΤ

0

0.01

0.02

0.04

0.06

0.08

0.18

0.39

0.4

0

FT

0

0.02

0.03

0.05

0.08

0.1

0.24

0.52

0.54

0

HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON

H TO VAI ON RE

VALUATION RESULTS SENSITIVITY & FINAL RISK ANALYSIS COMMENTS APPENDICES

RATES & S ADJUSTMENTS <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

### **APPENDIX 3**

### **Reliances and limitations**

We have been commissioned by Leicestershire County Council ('the Administering Authority') to carry out a full actuarial valuation of the Leicestershire County Council Pension Fund ('the Fund') at 31 March 2022, as required under Regulation 62 of the Local Government Pension Scheme Regulations 2013 ('the Regulations').

This report is addressed to the Administering Authority. It has been prepared by us as actuaries to the Fund and is solely for the purpose of summarising the main outcomes of the 2022 actuarial valuation. It has not been prepared for any other third party or for any other purpose. We make no representation or warranties to any third party as to the accuracy or completeness of this report, no reliance should be placed on this report by any third party and we accept no responsibility or liability to any third party in respect of it.

Hymans Robertson LLP is the owner of all intellectual property rights in this report. All such rights are reserved.

This summary report is the culmination of other communications in relation to the valuation, in particular:

- Our <u>2022 valuation toolkit</u> which sets out the methodology used when reviewing funding plans
- Our paper to the Fund's Pension Committee dated 25 March 2022 which discusses the funding strategy for the Fund's councils
- Our paper to the Fund's Pension Committee dated 24 May 2022 which discusses the valuation assumptions
- Our initial results report dated 4 October 2022 which outlines the whole fund results and inter-valuation experience

- Our data report dated March 2023 which summarises the data used for the valuation, the approach to ensuring it is fit for purpose and any adjustments made to it during the course of the valuation
- The Funding Strategy Statement which details the approach taken to adequately fund the current and future benefits due to members

The totality of our advice complies with the Regulations as they relate to actuarial valuations.

The following Technical Actuarial Standards apply to this advice, and have been complied with where material and to a proportionate degree. They are:

- TAS100 Principles for technical actuarial work
- TAS300 Pensions

Hymans Robertson LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC310282.

A list of members of Hymans Robertson LLP is available for inspection at One London Wall, London EC2Y 5EA, the firm's registered office. Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and licensed by the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries for a range of investment business activities. Hymans Robertson is a registered trademark of Hymans Robertson LLP.

HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

# Glossary

Term	Explanation
50:50 option	An option for LGPS members to pay half contributions and earn half the retirement benefit (pre-retirement protection benefits are unreduced).
Asset-liability modelling	An approach to modelling and understanding risk for a pension fund. The assets and liabilities are projected forward into the future under many different future scenarios of inflation, investment returns and interest rates. The future scenarios are then analysed to understand the risk associated with a particular combination of contribution rates and investment strategy. Different combinations of contribution rates and/or investment strategies may be tested.
Baseline longevity	The rates of death (by age and sex) in a given group of people based on current observed data.
Club Vita	A firm of longevity experts we partner with for longevity analysis. They combine data from thousands of pension schemes and use it to create detailed baseline longevity assumptions at member-level, as well as insight on general longevity trends and future improvements.
Commutation	The option for members to exchange part of their annual pension for a one-off lump sum at retirement. In the LGPS, every £1 of pension exchanged gives the member £12 of lump sum. The amounts that members commute is heavily influenced by tax rules which set an upper limit on how much lump sum can be taken tax-free.
CPI inflation	The annual rate of change of the Consumer Prices Index (CPI). The CPI is the UK government's preferred measure of inflation and is the measure used to increase LGPS (and all other public sector pension scheme) benefits each year.
Deferred pensioners	A former employee who has left employment (or opted out of the pension fund) but is not yet in receipt of their benefits from the fund.
Demographic assumptions	Assumptions concerned with member and employer choices rather than macroeconomic or financial factors. For example, retirement age or promotional salary scales. Demographic assumptions typically determine the timing of benefit payments.

 $\triangleleft \bigcirc \triangleright$ 

HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

# Glossary

Term	Explanation
Discount rate	A number used to place a single value on a stream of future payments, allowing for expected future investment returns.
Employee members	Members who are currently employed by employers who participate in the fund and paying contributions into the fund.
ESS	Economic Scenario Service - Hymans Robertson's proprietary economic scenario generator used to create thousands of simulations of future inflation, asset class returns and interest rates.
Funding position	<ul> <li>The extent to which the assets held by the fund at 31 March 2022 cover the accrued benefits ie the liabilities. The two measures of the funding position are:</li> <li>the funding level - the ratio of assets to liabilities; and</li> <li>the funding surplus/deficit - the difference between the asset and liabilities values.</li> </ul>
Inflation	Prices tend to increase over time, which is called inflation. Inflation is measured in different ways, using a different 'basket' of goods and mathematical formulas.
Liabilities	An employer's liability value is the single value at a given point in time of all the benefit payments expected to be made in future to all members. Benefit payments are projected using demographic and financial assumptions and the liability is calculated using a discount rate.
Longevity improvements	An assumption about how rates of death will change in future. Typically we assume that death rates will fall and life expectancies will improve over time, continuing the long-running trend.
Pensioners	A former employee who is in receipt of their benefits from the fund. This category includes eligible dependants of the former employee.

 $\triangleleft$   $\triangleright$ 

HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

# Glossary

Term	Explanation
Primary rate	The estimated cost of future benefits, expressed in percentage of pay terms. The primary rate will include an allowance to cover the fund's expenses.
Prudence	To be prudent means to err on the side of caution in the overall set of assumptions. We build prudence into the choice of discount rate by choosing an assumption with a prudence Level of more than 50%. All other assumptions aim to be best estimate.
Prudence Level	A percentage indicating the likelihood that a discount rate assumption will be achieved in practice, based on the ESS model. The higher the prudence level, the more prudent the discount rate is.
Secondary rate	An adjustment to the primary rate, generally to reflect costs associated with benefits that have already been earned up to the valuation date. This may be expressed as a percentage of pay and/or monetary amount.
Withdrawal	Refers to members leaving the scheme before retirement. These members retain an entitlement to an LGPS pension when they retire, but are no longer earning new benefits.

 $\triangleleft \bigcirc \triangleright$ 

### HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON

# Rates & Adjustments certificate



### Rates and Adjustments Certificate

In accordance with Regulation 62 of the LGPS regulations, we have assessed the contributions that should be paid into the Leicestershire County Council Pension Fund (the Fund) by participating employers for the period 1 April 2023 to 31 March 2026 in order to maintain the solvency of the Fund.

The method and assumptions used to calculate the contributions set out in this Rates and Adjustments certificate are detailed in the Funding Strategy Statement dated March 23 and in Appendix 2 of the report on the actuarial valuation dated March 23. These assumptions underpin our estimate of the number of members who will become entitled to a payment of pensions under the provisions of the LGPS and the amount of liabilities arising in respect of such members.

The table below summarises the whole fund primary and secondary contribution rates for the period 1 April 2023 to 31 March 2026. The primary rate is the payroll weighted average of the underlying individual employer primary rates and the secondary rate is the total of the underlying individual employer secondary rates, calculated in accordance with the LGPS regulations and CIPFA guidance. The secondary rate has been shown both as a monetary amount and an equivalent percentage of the projected pensionable pay.

		This valuation 31 March 2022	
Primary rate		23.1%	
Secondary rate		Monetary amount	Equivalent to % of payroll
	2023/24	£31,910,000	4.1%
	2024/25	£33,000,000	4.1%
	2025/26	£34,128,000	4.1%

The required minimum contribution rates for each employer in the Fund are set out in the remained of this certificate.

	ANS 🗱 RTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENT CERTIFICATE		
			Primary	Secondary rate	e (% of pay plus moneta	rv amount)	Total contributi	ons (primary rate plus	secondary rate)	Notes
Employer code	Employer name		rate (% of pay)	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	
Scheduled E	Bodies				:					
	Blaby District Coun	cil	22.9%	£628,000	£650,000	£672,000	22.9% plus £628,000	22.9% plus £650,000	22.9% plus £672,000	
	Charnwood Boroug	h Council	22.9%	£1,525,000	£1,577,000	£1,631,000	22.9% plus £1,525,000	22.9% plus £1,577,000	22.9% plus £1,631,000	
	Leicester City Coun	cil	22.8%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	27.8%	27.8%	27.8%	
	Leicestershire Cour	nty Council	22.9%	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%	29.4%	29.4%	29.4%	
	Melton Borough Co	uncil	22.3%	£429,000	£443,000	£458,000	22.3% plus £429,000	22.3% plus £443,000	22.3% plus £458,000	
	North West Leiceste	ershire District Council	22.7%	£965,000	£998,000	£1,032,000	22.7% plus £965,000	22.7% plus £998,000	22.7% plus £1,032,000	
	Oadby & Wigston B	orough Council	22.4%	£654,000	£676,000	£699,000	22.4% plus £654,000	22.4% plus £676,000	22.4% plus £699,000	
	Rutland County Cou	uncil	22.8%	£649,000	£672,000	£695,000	22.8% plus £649,000	22.8% plus £672,000	22.8% plus £695,000	
	The Chief Constabl	e & OPCC	22.5%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	24.9%	24.9%	24.9%	
5	Harborough District	Council	22.8%	£644,000	£666,000	£689,000	22.8% plus £644,000	22.8% plus £666,000	22.8% plus £689,000	
6	Hinckley & Boswort	h Borough Council	22.9%	£703,000	£727,000	£752,000	22.9% plus £703,000	22.9% plus £727,000	22.9% plus £752,000	
100	Fire Service Civiliar	IS	23.1%	£164,000	£169,000	£175,000	23.1% plus £164,000	23.1% plus £169,000	23.1% plus £175,000	
231	Eastern Shires Pure	chasing Organisation	23.9%	£403,000	£417,000	£432,000	23.9% plus £403,000	23.9% plus £417,000	23.9% plus £432,000	
Further Edu	cation Bodies									
	Leicester College		24.5%				24.5%	24.5%	24.5%	
	Loughborough Univ	resity	24.3%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	25.8%	25.8%	25.8%	
	SMB Group		24.4%				24.4%	24.4%	24.4%	
	South Leicestershir	e College	24.8%				24.8%	24.8%	24.8%	
	Wyggeston QE		24.5%				24.5%	24.5%	24.5%	
50	Loughborough Colle	ege of F.E.I	24.3%				24.3%	24.3%	24.3%	
105	Leicestershire De-M	Iontfort University	23.5%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	24.4%	24.4%	24.4%	

 $\triangleleft$   $\triangleright$ 

	ANS 🗱 RTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOARD	
Employer	Employer name		Primary rate	Secondary rate	(% of pay plus monetar	y amount)	Total contributions	(primary rate plus seco	ondary rate)	Notes
code			(% of pay)	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	
Academies										
	Beacon Academ	ıy	23.6%				23.6%	23.6%	23.6%	
	Bradgate Educa	tion Partnership	23.5%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	25.8%	25.8%	25.8%	
	Brookvale Groby	y MAT	23.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	25.2%	25.2%	25.2%	
	David Ross Edu	cation Trust	23.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	
	Discovery Schoo	ols MAT	22.9%				22.9%	22.9%	22.9%	
	Embrace Acade	my Trust	23.7%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	26.0%	26.0%	26.0%	
	Futures Trust		24.0%	8.5%	8.5%	8.5%	32.5%	32.5%	32.5%	
	Learn Academie	es Trust	24.1%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	25.7%	25.7%	25.7%	
	Learning without	t Limits Academy Trust	23.2%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	24.6%	24.6%	24.6%	
	LIFE MAT		23.6%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	24.7%	24.7%	24.7%	
	Lionheart MAT		23.3%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	24.5%	24.5%	24.5%	
	Midlands MAT		23.2%	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	27.6%	27.6%	27.6%	
	Nova Education	Trust	23.0%	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	33.5%	33.5%	33.5%	
	Odyssey Educat	tion Trust	23.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	23.5%	23.5%	23.5%	
	RISE MAT		24.2%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	25.6%	25.6%	25.6%	
	Rutland Learning	g Trust	23.6%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	26.3%	26.3%	26.3%	
	South Charnwoo	od High School	23.8%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	24.4%	24.4%	24.4%	
	St Thomas Aqui	nas MAT	23.5%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	25.4%	25.4%	25.4%	
	Success MAT		23.9%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	26.6%	26.6%	26.6%	
	Symphony Lear	ning Trust	23.8%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	25.3%	25.3%	25.3%	
	The Mead Educ	ational Trust	22.8%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	23.7%	23.7%	23.7%	

 $\triangleleft$   $\triangleright$ 

	ANS 🗱 RTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOAR	
Employer	Employer no	mo	Primary rate	Secondary rate	(% of pay plus monetar	y amount)	Total contributions	(primary rate plus sec	ondary rate)	Notes
code	Employer name		(% of pay)	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	
Academies (	(continued)		i i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · ·		i		
	The Mowbray E	ducation Trust	23.0%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	24.3%	24.3%	24.3%	
	Tudor Grange	TAN	23.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	23.9%	23.9%	23.9%	
	Wigston MAT		23.6%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	26.3%	26.3%	26.3%	
59	Uppingham CC		24.1%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	25.1%	25.1%	25.1%	
63	Better Futures MAT		23.7%	-1.0%	-1.0%	-1.0%	22.7%	22.7%	22.7%	
69	Rutland & Distr	ict Schools Federation	24.1%				24.1%	24.1%	24.1%	
84	Casterton Colle	ege	23.5%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	25.4%	25.4%	25.4%	
112	Welland Park S	school	23.0%				23.0%	23.0%	23.0%	
113	Lutterworth Hig	h School	23.3%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	23.8%	23.8%	23.8%	
114	Oak Multi Acad	emy Trust	23.6%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	24.8%	24.8%	24.8%	
115	Brooke Hill Sch	ool	23.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.9%	23.9%	23.9%	
118	Avanti Schools	Trust	22.9%				22.9%	22.9%	22.9%	
122	Woodbrook Val	e School	23.5%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	25.4%	25.4%	25.4%	
124	Stephenson Stu	udio School	22.3%	-2.7%	-2.7%	-2.7%	19.6%	19.6%	19.6%	
130	Ash Field Acad	emy	22.9%				22.9%	22.9%	22.9%	
131	Forest Way Sch	nool	23.0%				23.0%	23.0%	23.0%	
136	Redmoor Acad	emy	23.5%				23.5%	23.5%	23.5%	
138	Market Boswor	th High School	23.5%				23.5%	23.5%	23.5%	
141	Dorothy Goodn	nan School	22.6%				22.6%	22.6%	22.6%	
142	Gartree High S	chool	23.0%	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	25.9%	25.9%	25.9%	
143	Lutterworth Aca	ademies Trust	23.9%	10.8%	10.8%	10.8%	34.7%	34.7%	34.7%	
146	Long Field Spe	ncer Academy	23.2%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	23.8%	23.8%	23.8%	

 $\triangleleft \bigcirc \triangleright$ 

**RATES &** HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON EXECUTIVE APPROACH TO VALUATION **SENSITIVITY &** FINAL **SECTION 13** APPENDICES ADJUSTMENTS SUMMARY VALUATION RESULTS **RISK ANALYSIS** COMMENTS DASHBOARD CERTIFICATE Secondary rate (% of pay plus monetary amount) Total contributions (primary rate plus secondary rate) Primary Employer code **Employer name** rate 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 (% of pay) Academies (continued) Lady Jane Grey Primary School 24.2% 24.2% 24.2% 24.2% 179 181 Castle Donington College (EMET) 23.5% 2.3% 2.3% 2.3% 25.8% 25.8% 25.8%

101		23.370	2.370	2.370	2.370	20.070	23.070	20.070
182	Queeniborough Church of England Primary School	23.6%				23.6%	23.6%	23.6%
183	Birkett House School	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.1%	23.1%	23.1%
184	Church Hill Junior School	24.1%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	25.7%	25.7%	25.7%
189	Queensmead Primary Academy	23.8%				23.8%	23.8%	23.8%
190	Rendell Primary School	23.6%				23.6%	23.6%	23.6%
191	Barwell Church of England Junior School	23.3%	4.6%	4.6%	4.6%	27.9%	27.9%	27.9%
192	Bottesford Church of England Primary School	24.2%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	25.6%	25.6%	25.6%
194	Thrussington Church of England Primary School	23.9%	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	26.0%	26.0%	26.0%
195	Holywell Primary School	24.2%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	25.6%	25.6%	25.6%
196	Mountfields Lodge School	24.3%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	25.7%	25.7%	25.7%
198	Measham CofE Primary	23.6%				23.6%	23.6%	23.6%
199	St Peter's CofE Primary Academy	24.0%				24.0%	24.0%	24.0%
200	Outwoods Edge Primary School	24.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	24.2%	24.2%	24.2%
201	L.E.A.D Academy	23.8%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	26.2%	26.2%	26.2%
204	Stonebow Primary School	23.5%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	25.7%	25.7%	25.7%
205	Cobden Primary School	23.9%				23.9%	23.9%	23.9%
210	Asfordby Hill Primary School	24.3%	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	27.2%	27.2%	27.2%
216	The Pastures Primary School	24.7%				24.7%	24.7%	24.7%
217	Battling Brook Primary School	23.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.9%	23.9%	23.9%
218	Frisby Church of England Primary School	25.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	25.6%	25.6%	25.6%

Notes

	ANS 🗱 EXECUTIVE RTSON SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOARD	
Employer	<b>F</b> erry laws and the law	Primary	Secondary rate	(% of pay plus monetar	ry amount)	Total contributions	(primary rate plus sec	ondary rate)	Notes
code	Employer name	rate (% of pay)	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	
Academies	(continued)	; ;	;	;	:	;			
219	Scholars Academy Trust	23.6%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	23.7%	23.7%	23.7%	
220	Thringstone Primary School	24.3%				24.3%	24.3%	24.3%	
224	The OWLS Academy Trust	23.2%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	24.7%	24.7%	24.7%	
226	Inspiring Primaries AT	24.2%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	26.6%	26.6%	26.6%	
228	Rothley CofE Primary School	23.9%				23.9%	23.9%	23.9%	
229	Hastings High School	24.0%				24.0%	24.0%	24.0%	
230	Old Dalby Church of England Primary School		7.8%	7.8%	7.8%	31.0%	31.0%	31.0%	
232	Stanton Under Bardon Community Primary School	22.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	
234	Hall Orchard CofE Primary School	23.6%				23.6%	23.6%	23.6%	
235	Kirby Muxloe Primary	24.3%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	25.9%	25.9%	25.9%	
237	Robert Bakewell Primary School	23.4%				23.4%	23.4%	23.4%	
246	Falcons Primary	22.0%				22.0%	22.0%	22.0%	
247	Loughborough CofE Primary School	24.4%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	27.1%	27.1%	27.1%	
252	Ryhall CE Primary Academy	25.0%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	26.7%	26.7%	26.7%	
254	Townlands CofE Primary Academy	23.8%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	24.1%	24.1%	24.1%	
276	Priory Federation of Academies Trust	23.5%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	25.2%	25.2%	25.2%	
284	The Vines Academy Trust	23.3%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	24.7%	24.7%	24.7%	
291	Attenborough Learning Trust	23.6%	5.2%	5.2%	5.2%	28.8%	28.8%	28.8%	
Resolution I	Bodies								
16	Mountsorrell Parish Council	22.8%	-8.2%	-8.2%	-8.2%	14.6%	14.6%	14.6%	
34	Shepshed Parish Council	23.8%	-5.0%	-5.0%	-5.0%	18.8%	18.8%	18.8%	
35	Ashby Town Council	23.5%	8.5%	8.5%	8.5%	32.0%	32.0%	32.0%	

 $\triangleleft \bigcirc \triangleright$ 

**RATES &** HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON EXECUTIVE APPROACH TO VALUATION **SENSITIVITY &** FINAL **SECTION 13 APPENDICES** ADJUSTMENTS SUMMARY VALUATION RESULTS **RISK ANALYSIS** COMMENTS DASHBOARD CERTIFICATE Secondary rate (% of pay plus monetary amount) Total contributions (primary rate plus secondary rate) Primary Notes Employer code **Employer name** rate 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 2023/24 2024/25 2025/26 (% of pay) **Resolution Bodies (continued)** Lutterworth Town Council 24.7% -11.0% -11.0% -11.0% 13.7% 13.7% 37 13.7% 40 Glen Parva Parish Council 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% 25.5% -2.1% 21.4% 21.4% 41 Braunstone Town council 23.5% -2.1% -2.1% 21.4%

			,				= , .	, .
44	Thurmaston Parish Council	23.5%				23.5%	23.5%	23.5%
45	Countesthorpe Parish Council	23.3%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%
46	Broughton Astley Parish Council	25.3%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	26.3%	26.3%	26.3%
71	Anstey Parish Council	24.6%	-0.6%	-0.6%	-0.6%	24.0%	24.0%	24.0%
72	Sileby Parish Council	23.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	23.3%	23.3%	23.3%
73	Syston Town Council	23.9%				23.9%	23.9%	23.9%
75	Kirby Muxloe Parish Council	24.9%	-2.6%	-2.6%	-2.6%	22.3%	22.3%	22.3%
76	Leicester Forest East Parish Council	22.6%	-2.9%	-2.9%	-2.9%	19.7%	19.7%	19.7%
77	Whetstone Parish Council	23.7%	-2.3%	-2.3%	-2.3%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%
79	Oakham Town Council	23.3%	-2.3%	-2.3%	-2.3%	21.0%	21.0%	21.0%
90	Ashby Woulds Town Council	25.8%				25.8%	25.8%	25.8%
91	Market Bosworth Parish Council	26.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	26.4%	26.4%	26.4%
213	Barwell Parish Council	22.4%	-2.9%	-2.9%	-2.9%	19.5%	19.5%	19.5%
238	Blaby Parish Council	23.3%	-2.3%	-2.3%	-2.3%	21.0%	21.0%	21.0%
258	Bagworth and Thornton PC	25.9%				25.9%	25.9%	25.9%
268	Thurcaston and Cropston Parish Council	23.9%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	25.2%	25.2%	25.2%
269	Groby Parish Council	25.5%	-1.1%	-1.1%	-1.1%	24.4%	24.4%	24.4%
271	Enderby Parish Council	23.7%				23.7%	23.7%	23.7%
273	East Groscote Parish Council	26.6%				26.6%	26.6%	26.6%

	ANS <b>₩</b> RTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENT CERTIFICATE	INVERVIAN	
Employer	Employer no		Primary	Secondary ra	ite (% of pay plus mone	tary amount)	Total contributi	ons (primary rate plus	secondary rate)	Notes
code	Employer nar	ne	rate (% of pay)	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	
Resolution E	Bodies (continued	d)			· · ·					
288	Bottesford Paris	h Council	25.3%				25.3%	25.3%	25.3%	
289	Scraptoft Parish	Council	25.2%	5.1%	5.1%	5.1%	30.3%	30.3%	30.3%	
Community	Admission Bodie	s								
19	Voluntary Actior	n Leicester	25.9%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	27.5%	27.5%	27.5%	
20	Bradgate Park 7	rust	23.8%	1.6% plus £31,000	1.6% plus £31,000	1.6% plus £31,000	25.4% plus £31,000	25.4% plus £31,000	25.4% plus £31,000	
85	Platform Housin	g	36.6%	£28,000	£28,000	£28,000	36.6% plus £28,000	36.6% plus £28,000	36.6% plus £28,000	
96	EMH Group		35.6%				35.6%	35.6%	35.6%	
Transferee A	Admission Bodies	6								
49	Rushcliffe Care	Ltd	30.2%	-30.2%	-30.2%	-30.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
89	SLM Community	y Leisure (Blaby)	29.5%	-29.5%	-29.5%	-29.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
101	G4S (City Coun	cil)	29.0%	-29.0%	-29.0%	-29.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
102	East West Com	munity Project	31.6%	-31.6%	-31.6%	-31.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
103	Capita (ex Char	nwood)	10.0%				10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
108	Melton Learning	Hub	28.3%	-4.0%	-4.0%	-4.0%	24.3%	24.3%	24.3%	
170	East Midland Sh	ared Services	24.9%	-7.7%	-7.7%	-7.7%	17.2%	17.2%	17.2%	
240	Aspens (City Cr	own Hills)	30.7%	-30.7%	-30.7%	-30.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
241	Capita I.T. City	of Leicester	26.8%	-12.8%	-12.8%	-12.8%	14.0%	14.0%	14.0%	
244	Quadron Servic		29.4%	-29.4%	-29.4%	-29.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
262	Chartwells Scho (Ravenhurst)	ool Foods Contracts	23.9%	-18.2%	-18.2%	-18.2%	5.7%	5.7%	5.7%	
272	Solo Service Gr	oup (Leics CC)	32.1%	-32.1%	-32.1%	-32.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
275	CSE Ltd		25.8%	-4.1%	-4.1%	-4.1%	21.7%	21.7%	21.7%	
283	SLM (Oadby an	d Wigston Contract)	34.1%	-5.2%	-5.2%	-5.2%	28.9%	28.9%	28.9%	

 $\triangleleft$   $\triangleright$ 

	ANS 🗱 RTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOARD	
Employer	Employer na	me	Primary rate	Secondary rate	e (% of pay plus monetai	ry amount)	Total contributions	s (primary rate plus sec	ondary rate)	Notes
code			(% of pay)	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	
Transferee A	Admission Bodie	s (continued)								
297	Kindred (former	ly Ridge Crest)	30.8%	-30.8%	-30.8%	-30.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
298	Bellrock (FM)		25.2%	-24.5%	-24.5%	-24.5%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	
407	Govindas		28.4%	-2.8%	-2.8%	-2.8%	25.6%	25.6%	25.6%	
New Employ	vers post 1 April 2	2022								
438	Croft Parish Co	uncil	24.3%				24.3%	24.3%	24.3%	
Blaby Distric		hrough employers								
296	SLM Everyone contract)	Active (Blaby DC 2019	22.9%	6.4%	6.4%	6.4%	29.3%	29.3%	29.3%	
Charnwood	Borough Counci	l pass-through employe	rs							
443	Capita (ex Char	nwood 2020)	22.9%	-12.9%	-12.9%	-12.9%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
Leicester Co	ounty Council pas	ss-through employers								
434	Turning Point (0	County 2022)	22.7%	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%	29.2%	29.2%	29.2%	1
Leicester Cit	ty Council pass-t	hrough employers								
417	Atalian Servest	(Soar & Moat)	22.8%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	27.8%	27.8%	27.8%	
420	Compass Servi	ces (City, Shaf)	22.8%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	27.8%	27.8%	27.8%	
423	Atalian Servest	(City of Leic)	22.8%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	27.8%	27.8%	27.8%	
429	Compass Servi	ces(City, Marr)	22.8%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	27.8%	27.8%	27.8%	
430	Compass Servi	ces(City, Gleb)	22.8%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	27.8%	27.8%	27.8%	
435	Turning Point (	City 2022)	22.8%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	27.8%	27.8%	27.8%	1
440	Caterlink (City,	Granby)	22.8%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	27.8%	27.8%	27.8%	1
441	Caterlink (City,	St Barnabas)	22.8%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	27.8%	27.8%	27.8%	1
North West I		strict Council pass-thro	ugh employers							
294	SLM Everyone contract)	Active (North West DC	22.7%	6.4%	6.4%	6.4%	29.1%	29.1%	29.1%	

 $\triangleleft \bigcirc \triangleright$ 

Note 1 – Joined after 1 April 2022

	ANS <b>#</b> RTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOAR	
Employer	Employer nam	•	Primary rate	Secondary rate	• (% of pay plus monetar	y amount)	Total contributions	s (primary rate plus sec	ondary rate)	Notes
code		e	(% of pay)	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	
The Chief Co	onstable & the OP	CC pass-through emp	oloyers		· · ·					
418	Mitie Care (Chief	Constable)	22.5%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	24.9%	24.9%	24.9%	
Beacon Aca	demy pass-throug	h employers								
427	Hutchison Caterir	ng (AET Beacon)	23.6%				23.6%	23.6%	23.6%	
431	Taylor Shaw Limi	ted (MET)	23.6%				23.6%	23.6%	23.6%	
David Ross	Education Trust (D	ORET) pass-through e	employers							
421	Caterlink (DRET)		23.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	
Learning Wi	thout Limits Acade	emy Trust pass-throu	igh employers							
439	Freshstart (LWLA	NT)	23.2%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	24.6%	24.6%	24.6%	1
-	ass-through emplo	-								
437	Total Swim (LIFE		23.6%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	24.7%	24.7%	24.7%	
	College pass-through	• • •								
436	Cucina (Lutterwo		23.9%	10.8%	10.8%	10.8%	34.7%	34.7%	34.7%	1
Nova ET pas	ss-through employ									
409	Aspens (Nova ET	7	23.0%	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	33.5%	33.5%	33.5%	
Odyssey Ed		s-through employers								
432		ey – Humberstone)	23.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	23.5%	23.5%	23.5%	
	-	I pass-through emplo	-							
433	MCS Cleaning (2	021)	23.8%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	24.4%	24.4%	24.4%	
Symphony L		s-through employers								
412	Coombs Catering	(Ashby Hill Top)	23.8%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	25.3%	25.3%	25.3%	
	-	pass-through emplo	•							
299	Solo		23.0%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	24.3%	24.3%	24.3%	
	ge AT pass-throug									
415	Caterlink (Tudor (	Grange AT)	23.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	23.9%	23.9%	23.9%	

Note 1 – Joined after 1 April 2022



HYMANS 🗱

RÓBERTSON

VALUATION RESULTS

**SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS** COMMENTS

**FINAL** 

APPENDICES

**SECTION 13** ADJUSTMENTS DASHBOARD CERTIFICATE

RATES &

## Further comments to the Rates and Adjustments Certificate

- Contributions expressed as a percentage of payroll should be paid into the Fund at a frequency in accordance with the requirements of the Regulations •
- Further sums should be paid to the Fund to meet the costs of any early retirements and/or augmentations using methods and factors issued by us from time to time or as otherwise agreed.
- Payments may be required to be made to the Fund by employers to meet the capital costs of any ill-health retirements that exceed those allowed for within our assumptions.
- The certified contribution rates represent the minimum level of contributions to be paid. Employing authorities may pay further amounts at any time and future periodic contributions may be adjusted on a basis approved by the Fund Actuary.
- The monetary contributions set out in the certificate above can be prepaid in advance with appropriate adjustments for interest as and when agreed with the Administering Authority. Under these circumstances a revised Rates and Adjustments certificate may be issued reflecting any advance payments.

**Richard Warden FFA** 30 March 2023 For and on behalf of Hymans Robertson LLP

Tom Home

Tom Hoare FFA



#### HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON

# Section 13 Dashboard



HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

Metric	Unit	2022 valuation
2022 funding position – local funding basis		
Funding level (assets/liabilities)	%	105%
Funding level (change since previous valuation)	%	16%
Asset value used at the valuation	£m	5,790
Value of liabilities (including McCloud liability)	£m	5,507
Surplus (deficit)	£m	283
Discount rate – past service	% pa	4.4%
Discount rate – future service	% pa	Past service and future service are consistently valued with the same underlying assumptions, methodologies and models regarding future expected levels of inflation, interest rates and investment returns.
Assumed pension increase (CPI)	% pa	2.9%
Method of derivation of discount rate, plus any changes since previous valuation		There is a 75% likelihood that the Fund's assets will return at least 4.4% over the 17 years following the 2022 valuation date. This is the same methodology used for the 2019 but with a lower likelihood (80% at 2019).

 $\triangleleft \bigcirc \triangleright$ 

HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

Metric	Unit	2022 valuation
Assumed life expectancy at age 65		
Life expectancy for current pensioners – men age 65	years	21.5
Life expectancy for current pensioners – women age 65	years	24.4
Life expectancy for future pensioners – men age 45	Years	22.3
Life expectancy for future pensioners – women age 45	years	25.9
Past service funding position – SAB basis (for comparison purposes only)		
Market value of assets	£m	5,790
Value of liabilities	£m	4,992
Funding level on SAB basis (assets/liabilities)	%	116%
Funding level on SAB basis (change since last valuation)	%	13% increase

 $\triangleleft \bigcirc \triangleright$ 

HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

Metric	Unit	2022 valuation	2019 valuation
Contribution rates payable			
Primary contribution rate	% of pay	23.1%	20.4%
Secondary contribution rate (cash amounts in each year in line with CIPFA guidance)			
1 <sup>st</sup> year of rates and adjustments certificate	£m	31.910	33.483
2 <sup>nd</sup> year of rates and adjustments certificate	£m	33.000	39.722
3 <sup>rd</sup> year of rates and adjustments certificate	£m	34.128	46.526
Giving total expected contributions			
1 <sup>st</sup> year of rates and adjustments certificate (£ figure based on assumed payroll)	£m	212.616	167.869
2 <sup>nd</sup> year of rates and adjustments certificate (£ figure based on assumed payroll)	£m	219.894	177.912
3 <sup>rd</sup> year of rates and adjustments certificate (£ figure based on assumed payroll)	£m	227.423	188.628
Assumed payroll (cash amounts in each year)			
1 <sup>st</sup> year of rates and adjustments certificate	£m	781.729	658.600
2 <sup>nd</sup> year of rates and adjustments certificate	£m	808.500	677.300
3 <sup>rd</sup> year of rates and adjustments certificate	£m	836.187	696.400
3 year average total employer contribution rate	% of pay	27.2%	26.4%
Average employee contribution	% of pay	6.3%	6.3%
Employee contribution rate (£ figure based on assumed payroll of £784m)	£m pa	49.519	41.547

 $\triangleleft$   $\triangleright$ 

HYMANS 🗱 ROBERTSON	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	APPROACH TO VALUATION	VALUATION RESULTS	SENSITIVITY & RISK ANALYSIS	FINAL COMMENTS	APPENDICES	RATES & ADJUSTMENTS CERTIFICATE	SECTION 13 DASHBOARD

Metric	Unit	2022 valuation	2019 valuation
Deficit recovery and surplus spreading plan			
Latest deficit recovery period end date, where this methodology is used by the fund's actuarial advisor	Year	Methodology not used	Methodology not used
Earliest surplus spreading period end date, where this methodology is used by the fund's actuarial advisor	Year	Methodology not used	Methodology not used
The time horizon end date, where this methodology is used by the fund's actuarial advisor	Year	2039	2036
The funding plan's likelihood of success, where this methodology is used by the fund's actuarial advisor	%	82%	79%
Percentage of liabilities relating to employers with deficit recovery periods of longer than 20 years	%	0%	0%
Additional information			
Percentage of total liabilities that are in respect of Tier 3 employers	%	13%	
Included climate change analysis/comments in the 2022 valuation report		Yes	
Value of McCloud liability in the 2022 valuation report (on local funding basis)	£m	10.3	

 $\triangleleft \bigcirc \triangleright$